

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AB

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/801,089	03/08/2001	David R. Phillips	MPI95-0151RCPA1DVIM	7657
75	90 11/17/2004		EXAMI	NER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC			EWOLDT, GERALD R	
75 SIDNEY ST	REET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1644	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139				

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	 		
Advisory Action	09/801,089	PHILLIPS ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOWA	ANCE. y to a		
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectio IE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of th	ne shortened statutory period for reply of a later than three months offer than the	unt of the fee. The appro	opriate extension		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or sim	plifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		, .,	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed a	mendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid	ered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were I	newly		
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would) a) will not be entered or b) ld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered an	d an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		••			
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to:			*		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-23 and 30-40</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approx	ved or b) disapproved by the	Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	, 4	111		
10. Other:		- /	with		
,		////2 /0°	T DT Du s		
		G.R. EWOLI PRIMARY E)			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to Claim 21 would broaden the scope of the claim by eliminating the specific beta subunits for which signaling would be identified. Also, the addition of "integrin" to line 1 of the claim appears to raise a new 112/2 issue.